IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 1443 (M/S) of 2006

Chander @ Chanderpal S/o Rehtu
R/o Landhora, Pargana- Manglore, Tehsil Roorkee,
District – Haridwar. ....Petitioner

Vs

1. State of Uttaranchal through Collector, Haridwar,
   District Haridwar.
2. Rifakat Ali S/o Mohd. Ishaak,
3. Hanif S/o Ata Hussain,
   Respondent No.1 to3, R/o Landhora, Pargana, Manglore,
   Tehsil- Roorkee, District Haridwar. .....Respondents

Sri Parikshit Saini learned counsel for the petitioner.
Sri Nand Prasad learned counsel for the respondent No.1.

Dated:- 28-09-2006

Hon'ble B.S. Verma, J.

Heard Sri Parikshit Saini learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Nand Prasad standing counsel for the State.

This Court vide order dated 21-9-2006 gave a direction to the respondents to produce the entire record of case No. 518/2005-2006 U/s 157-A/157-AA/166/167 of U.P.Z.A. and L.R. Act 1950 State Vs. Mohd. Rifakat and others.

Sri Atul Bansal, learned brief holder for the State has produced the entire record before this Court today. The grievance of the petitioner is that on the last date he could not get the copy of the order dated 14-9-2006 which is alleged to have been passed by the Collector.

Now, the learned counsel for the petitioner at this stage prayed that he may be given permission to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to avail appropriate remedy before appropriate court. Permission granted.

The writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn and the collector is directed to issue a direction to his office for issuance of certified copy of the order passed by him to the petitioner.

However, it is made clear that if the petitioner applied for certified copy of the order dated 14-9-2006, the copy of the order shall be given to him as per rules.

(B.S. Verma, J)

Dated:- 28th September 2006
M.K.